Natural Resources PC

Special Report 6 Dec ’25

Strategic Assessment of AI-Driven Data Center Expansion

1. Executive Overview

Global compute demand is accelerating at a pace without historical precedent, driven above all by artificial intelligence. Large-scale model training and inference, relying on accelerated computing architectures such as GPUs and specialized AI processors, are reshaping the entire digital infrastructure landscape. Industry leaders—most prominently NVIDIA CEO Jensen Huang—now characterize AI as the central engine of future computing growth, transforming data centers from conventional server hubs into what he describes as “AI factories.”

Unless this trend slows down or even is a ‘bubble’ that will burst, this transformation creates both a profound strategic opportunity and a significant national-level infrastructure challenge. The countries capable of supporting AI-grade data centers will strengthen their positions in economic competitiveness, technological sovereignty, intelligence capabilities, and security resilience. Those unable to meet the requirements of next-generation compute infrastructure risk becoming dependent on foreign cloud platforms and ceding critical elements of strategic autonomy.

2. Strategic Assessment

2.1 Economic & Geopolitical Stakes

AI compute has rapidly become a critical national asset, comparable in strategic importance to energy reserves, semiconductor fabrication capacity, or advanced telecommunications networks. Nations that succeed in hosting AI infrastructure benefit from high-value foreign and domestic investment, the emergence of specialized talent clusters, and the formation of technology supply-chain anchors. Control over AI compute infrastructure is now directly tied to leadership in defense modernization, scientific research, advanced manufacturing, and the broader innovation economy. The geopolitical significance of compute capacity is growing, and countries without domestic access to high-performance AI infrastructure will face structural strategic disadvantages.

2.2 Infrastructure Requirements

AI-ready facilities place unique and demanding requirements on national infrastructure. They rely on stable access to extremely high-capacity electrical supply, often in the range of 100 to more than 600 megawatts per campus. The economic and environmental feasibility of these facilities depends heavily on access to low-carbon baseload power, whether drawn from hydroelectricity, nuclear reactors, geothermal resources, or large-scale solar and wind generation supported by energy storage. Cooling systems must be significantly more advanced than those used in traditional data centers, frequently incorporating liquid cooling or direct-tochip systems and minimizing dependence on scarce water supplies. Effective AI deployment further requires high-bandwidth, multi-path fiber connectivity capable of supporting globally distributed model training and large-scale data mobility. Zoning regimes must be flexible enough to allow rapid construction and campus expansion. Countries unable to meet these requirements will find themselves excluded from the emerging ecosystem of next-generation compute infrastructure.

2.3 CAPEX and Grid Implications

The capital expenditure associated with new AI-ready data centers is immense. Global investment is projected to reach multi-trillion-dollar levels by 2030 as nations and hyperscalers race to build out capacity. This surge will have far-reaching implications for national power grids. Supporting these facilities will require substantial expansions in substation capacity, upgrades to high-voltage transmission networks, and the development of new renewable or nuclear generation assets. In addition, countries will need to deploy grid-balancing technologies such as energy storage and demand-response systems to maintain stability under sharply increased loads.

3. Environmental & Social Risk Analysis

3.1 GHG & Power

The greenhouse gas impact of AI-driven data center expansion will depend largely on the composition of the local electrical grid. Regions reliant on fossil fuels may see their climate commitments jeopardized as AI campuses come online. Moreover, large AI facilities, if deployed without coordination and planning, may strain regional power availability and restrict capacity for other industrial or residential needs.

3.2 Water & Cooling

Cooling demands present parallel environmental risks. Water-intensive cooling systems could intensify pressure on already strained freshwater supplies, particularly in arid regions. While the use of non-potable or recycled water loops can mitigate this burden, doing so requires early planning, regulatory support, and investments in appropriate local infrastructure.

3.3 Community Impact

Communities near AI campuses may experience a range of local impacts, including elevated noise from cooling and power equipment, increased land-use demands, and congestion associated with construction and ongoing operations. Without proactive engagement, these factors may generate local opposition. Policymakers should anticipate these challenges and pursue community benefit programs and transparent consultation processes.

4. Suitability Assessment: Country Typologies

Countries vary widely in their readiness to host AI-grade data center infrastructure, and they can be grouped into four broad tiers.

The *first* consists of nations highly suited to hosting such facilities. These countries typically have abundant low-carbon energy resources, stable political and regulatory environments, favorable cooling conditions due to climate or cost structure, and established hyperscale or cloud ecosystems. Examples include the European Nordic states, Canada, France, the U.S. Pacific Northwest, the United Arab Emirates with its blend of solar and nuclear assets, and Chile.

The *second* tier includes countries that are suitable but require targeted investment or reform. These nations may have a strong energy mix but face grid bottlenecks, or they may possess excellent connectivity but be constrained by land availability or zoning restrictions. Japan, South Korea, the Netherlands, Ireland, and Singapore fall within this category.

The *third* tier includes countries with emerging potential. These regions are expanding renewable energy capacity, offer significant land availability, and are in the process of modernizing their regulatory frameworks. Saudi Arabia, Australia, South Africa, Indonesia, and Brazil exemplify this group.

The *fourth* tier encompasses countries facing high levels of risk for AI data center deployment. These are regions where unreliable electrical grids, political or regulatory instability, or acute water scarcity present significant barriers. Such conditions make large-scale AI infrastructure difficult to sustain without extraordinary investment and risk mitigation.

5. Strategic Bottom Line

Today it looks like AI data centers have evolved from a purely commercial concern into core national infrastructure with direct implications for economic performance, defense capabilities, and long-term technological leadership. Unless this trend slows down or even is a ‘bubble’ that will burst, countries that take early, coordinated action to attract, support, and regulate AI-ready data centers will secure meaningful strategic advantages in the emerging global AI landscape. Those that delay will find themselves dependent on external compute providers, choosing to import—not shape—the future of AI.

Early movers will dominate compute capacity. Late movers will purchase it from them.

Natural Resources PC is an international consulting company specializing in energy, mining and metals
www.naturalresources.gr
Footnotes and References are available on request.